Journal of Biology and Today's World
ISSN 2322-3308
http://www.journalbio.com

Received: 29 November 2012 • Accepted: 25 December 2012

Review

doi:10.15412/J.JBTW. 01020105

Biosensors: Functions and Applications

Reza Kazemi-Darsanaki, Azadeh Azizzadeh, Maryam Nourbakhsh, Golnaz Raeisi, Morteza AzizollahiAliabadi*

Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Basic Science, Lahijan Branch, Islamic Azad University, Lahijan, Iran

*correspondence should be addressed to AzizollahiAliabadi, Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Basic Science, Lahijan Branch, Islamic Azad University, Lahijan, Iran; Tell: +98; Fax: +98; Email: Morteza_Azizollahi@yahoo.com.

ABSTRACT
The development of biosensors has been the focus of scientist's attention for current decades. Biosensors can centrally serve as low-cost and highly able devices for this aim in addition to being applied in other day to day applications. Biosensor is a device that consists of two central pieces: A bioreceptor besides a transducer. Bioreceptor is a biological factor that recognizes the target analyte and transducer is a physicochemical detector component that converts the recognition event into a measurable signal. Biomolecules such as enzymes, antibodies, receptors, organelles also microorganisms as well as animal and plant cells or tissues have been applied as biological sensing factors. In this paper, we review current development in use of biosensors as a diagnostic tool, as well as some future applications of biosensor technology.
Key words: Biosensors, Microbial biosensor, Transducer, Pathogen detection

1. INTRODUCTION

The The history of biosensors began in the year 1962 with the establishment of enzyme electrodes by the scientist Leland C. Clark. Since then, experiment communities from different areas such as VLSI, Physics, Chemistry, and Material Science have come together to develop more sophisticated, reliable and mature biosensing devices for applications in the fields of medicine, agriculture, biotechnology, as well as the military and bioterrorism detection and prevention (1). Biosensor is a device that consists of two main parts: A bioreceptor and a transducer. Bioreceptor is a biological component (tissue, microorganisms, organelles, cell receptors, enzymes, antibodies, nucleic acids, etc) that determines the target analyte. Difference fraction is transducer, a physicochemical detector component that changes the detection event into a measurable signal (2, 3). The function of a biosensor depends on the biochemical specificity of the biologically active material. The choice of the biological material will depend on a number of factors via the specificity, storage, operational and environmental stability (2, 4). Biosensors can have a variety of biomedical, industry, and also military applications. The major application so far is in blood glucose sensing because of its abundant market potential (1, 5). Biomolecules such as enzymes, antibodies, receptors, organelles and microorganisms as well as animal and plant cells or tissues have been used as biological sensing factors (2). Microorganisms have been composed with a variety of transducers such as amperometric, potentiometric, calorimetric, conductimetric, colorimetric, luminescence and fluorescence to construct biosensor devices (3, 6, 7). In this paper, we review recent development in use of biosensors as a diagnostic tool, as well as some future applications of biosensor technology.

2. Types of Biosensors

2.1. Resonant Biosensor

In this type of biosensor, an acoustic wave transducer is coupled with an antibody (bio- factor). The analyte molecule (or antigen) gets added to the membrane, the mass of the membrane diversities. Resulting diversification in the mass subsequently diversities the resonant frequency of the transducer. This frequency change is then measured (8).

2.2. Optical biosensors

The output transduced signal that is measured is light for this type of biosensor. The biosensor can be made based on optical diffraction or electrochemiluminescence. Optical transducers are particularly attractive for application to direct (label-free) detection of bacteria. These sensors are accomplished to discover minute conversions in the refractive index or thickness which happens when cells fasten to receptors immobilized on the transducer surface. They correlate changes in concentration, mass or number of molecules to direct changes in characteristics of light. Several optical techniques have been reported for detection of bacterial pathogens including: monomode dielectric waveguides, surface plasmon resonance (SPR), ellipsometry, the resonant mirror and the interferometer etc (9-11).

2.2.1. Surface plasmon resonance (SPR) biosensor

This is an evanescent area based optical sensors applying thin gold film for sensing approaches. The interaction between analyte flowing over immobilized interactant on gold surface is probed through the detection of reflection minima on photo-detector array sensors. SPR has successfully been applied to the detection of pathogen bacteria by means of immunoreactions (11, 12).

2.2.2. Piezoelectric biosensors

Piezoelectric (PZ) biosensor offers a real-time output, simplicity of use and cost effectiveness. The chief idea is based on coating the surface of the PZ sensor with a selectively binding material, for instance, antibodies to bacteria, and then locating it in a solution containing bacteria. The bacteria will bind to the antibodies and the mass of the crystal will increase while the resonance frequency of oscillation will decrease proportionally (9, 11).

2.3. Thermal Biosensors

This type of biosensor is exploiting one of the fundamental properties of biological reactions, namely absorption or production of heat, which in turn changes the temperature of the medium in which the reaction takes place. They are combined by combining immobilized enzyme molecules with temperature sensors. When the analyte comes in contact with the enzyme, the heat reaction of the enzyme is measured and is calibrated against the analyte concentration. Common applications of this type of biosensor include the detection of pesticides and pathogenic bacteria (11).

2.4. Electrochemical Biosensors

Electrochemical biosensors are mainly used for the detection of hybridized DNA, DNA-binding drugs, glucose concentration, etc. Electrochemical biosensors can be classified based on the calculating electrical guidelines as: (i) conductimetric, (ii) amperometric and (iii) potentiometric. Contrasted to optical approaches, electrochemistry gives the analyst to act with turbid samples, and the capital cost of equipment is much lower. On the other hand, electrochemical methods present slightly more limited selectivity and sensitivity than their optical counterparts (9, 13).

2.4.1. Conductimetric Biosensors

The measured parameter is the electrical conductance/resistance of the solution. When electrochemical reactions create ions or electrons, the overall conductivity or resistivity of the solution has been altering. This convert is ended and calibrated to an appropriate degree. Conductance measurements have relatively low sensitivity (9).

2.4.2. Amperometric Biosensors

This is maybe the most common electrochemical discovery approach applied in biosensors. This high sensitivity biosensor can discover electroactive species present in biological test samples. Amperometric biosensors produce a current proportional to the concentration of the substance to be detected. The most common amperometric biosensors use the Clark Oxygen electrode (9, 11).

2.4.3. Potentiometric Biosensors

These are the least common of all biosensors, but different strategies may be found nonetheless in this category of sensor the calculated guideline is oxidation or reduction potential of an electrochemical reaction. The working basis relies on the truth that when a voltage is applied to an electrode in solution, a current flow occurs because of electrochemical reactions. The voltage at which these reactions occur indicates a particular reaction and particular species (2, 11).

2.5. Bioluminescence sensors

Recent advances in bioanalytical sensors have led to the utilization of the ability of certain enzymes to emit photons as a byproduct of their reactions. This phenomenon is known as bioluminescence. The potential applications of bioluminescence for bacterial detection were begun by the development of luciferase reporter phages. The bacterial luminescence *lux* gene has been broadly exercised as a reporter either in an inducible or constitutive mechanism. In the inducible manner, the reporter *lux* gene is fused to a promoter regulated by the concentration of a combine of interest. As an effect, the concentration of the compound can be quantitatively assayed by determining the bioluminescence intensity. Bioluminescence systems have been used for detection of a wide range of microorganisms (2, 11).

2.6. Nucleic Acid-based Biosensors

A nucleic acid biosensor is an analytical instrument that integrates an oligonucleotide with a signal transducer. The nucleic acid probe is immobilized on the transducer and behaves as the bio-recognition molecule to detect DNA/RNA fragments (11).

2.7. Nanobiosensors

Nanosensors can be described as sensors based on nanotechnology. Development of nanobiosensor is one of the most current advancement in the area of Nanotechnology. The silver and certain other noble metal nanoparticles have many important applications in the field of biolabeling, drug delivery system, filters and also antimicrobial drugs, sensors (14).

3. Microbial Biosensors

Microbes have a number of advantages as biological sensing materials in the fabrication of biosensors. They are present ubiquitously and are able to metabolize a wide range of chemical compounds. Microorganisms have a great capacity to adapt to adverse conditions and to develop the capability to degrade new molecules with time. Microbes are also amenable for genetic changes through mutation or through recombinant DNA technology and serve as an economical source of intracellular enzymes. Purified enzymes have been most commonly used in the construction of biosensors due to their high specific activities as well as high analytical specificity. Over 90% of the enzymes known to date are intracellular. In this respect, the utilization of whole cells as a source of intracellular enzymes has been shown to be a better alternative to purified enzymes in various industrial processes. Whole cells have been used either in a viable or non-viable class. Viable cells are advancing considerable import in the fabrication of biosensors. Viable microbes metabolize different organic compounds either anaerobically or aerobically resulting in various end products like ammonia, carbon dioxide, acids etc, which can be monitored using a variety of transducers. Viable cells are mainly used when the overall substrate assimilation capacity of microorganisms is acquired as an index of respiratory metabolic action, as for estimation of biological oxygen demand (BOD) or application of other growth or metabolically related nutrients like vitamins, sugars, organic acids and nitrogenous compounds. Another mechanism used for the viable microbial biosensor involves the inhibition of microbial respiration by the analyte of interest, like environmental pollutants.

The major application of microbial biosensors is in the environmental field (4, 15). Environmental applications of biosensors involve the detection of damaging bacteria or pesticides in air, water, or food. A microbial biosensor consisting of an oxygen microelectrode with microbial cells immobilized in polyvinyl alcohol has been fabricated for the measurement of bioavailable organic carbon in toxic sediments. Microbial biosensors have been developed for assaying BOD, a value related to total content of organic materials in wastewater. BOD sensors take advantage of the high reaction rates of microorganisms interfaced to electrodes to measure the oxygen depletion rates (2, 16, 17).

4. Biosensors and cancer

Cancer diagnosis and treatment are of huge care due to the widespread occurrence of the diseases, high death count, also recurrence after treatment. According to the National Vital Statistics Reports, from 2002 to 2006 the rate of incidence (per 100,000 persons) of cancer in White people was 470.6, in Black people 493.6, in Asians 311.1, indicating that cancer is wide- spread among all races. Cancer can take over 200 distinct forms, including lung, prostate, breast, ovarian, hematologic, skin, and colon cancer, and leukemia, and both environmental agents, and genetic agents are related with an additional risk of developing cancer. Bacterial as well as viral infections are also firmly related with some categories of cancer (18, 19). In medicine, biosensors can be used to monitor blood glucose levels in diabetics, detect pathogens, and diagnose and monitor cancer (20). The use of emerging biosensor technology could be instrumental in early cancer detection and more effective treatments, especially for those cancers that are certainly diagnosed at late grades and answer poorly to treatment, developing in enhancements in patient quality of life and overall chance of survival (19). By measuring levels of certain proteins expressed and/or secreted by tumor cells, biosensors can detect whether a tumor is present, whether it is benign or cancerous, and whether treatment has been effective in reducing or eliminating cancerous cells (19, 20).

5. Biosensors and Pathogen detection

Bacteria, viruses and other microorganisms are found widely in nature and environment. Microbial diseases constitute the major cause of deaths in developing countries (11). Pathogen detection is of the utmost importance primarily for health and safety reasons. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR), culture and colony counting methods as well as immunology-based procedures are the foremost frequent tools applied for pathogen identification. They include DNA analysis, numbering of bacteria as well as antigen–antibody interactions, respectively. In spite of disadvantages such as the time required for the analysis or the complexity of their use, they still represent a field where progress is possible. Biosensors have recently been defined as analytical devices incorporating a biological material intimately associated with or integrated within a physicochemical transducer or transducing microsystem, which may be optical, electrochemical, thermometric, piezoelectric, magnetic or micromechanical (9, 21). There are three central classes of biological identification elements which are applied in biosensor applications. These are enzymes, antibodies besides, nucleic acids. In the discovery of pathogenic bacteria, however, enzymes tend to function as labels rather than actual bacterial recognition elements. Enzymes can be used to label either antibodies or DNA probes much in the same fashion as in an ELISA assay. In the case of amperometric biosensors enzymatic labels are critical. More advanced techniques may operate without labeling the recognition element, such as the case of surface plasmon resonance (SPR), piezoelectric or impedimetric biosensors. The application of antibodies in biosensors is immediately more develop than that of DNA probes, the following sections deal mainly with antibody-based biosensors. Figure 1 shows the three most frequent antibody immobilization routes, which are:



Figure 1. Main immobilization strategies

Different types of biosensor are being employed for detection of pathogenic microbes. Piezoelectric immunosensors were developed for *Listeria monocytogenes* and members of the Enterobacteriaceae family etc (22). In the immunogravimetric microbial assay, a PZ crystal coated with anti-*C. albicans* antibody was used for the detection of *C. albicans* concentrations in the range of 106–108 cells/ml (22). In study pyle *et al*, indirect detection of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 by flourescent labeled antibody method (5). Amperometric biosensors have been created for indirect detection of *E. coli* by Nakamura *et al*. Brooks *et al*, developed amperometric biosensor for Salmonella recognition (23, 24). Light addressable potentiometric sensor array have been developed for *Neisseria meningitidis*, *Brucellamelitensis* by Lee *et al*. (25). Nucleic acid hybridization based biosensor schemes are being developed for pathogens such as *E. coli* and *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. Bioluminescence systems have been used for detection of a wide range of microorganisms (11).

6. CONCLUSION

Biosensors have been miniaturised extensively in the recent years. Keeping in line with such Developments, microbial cells with high enzyme behaviors may be needed. This is chief definitely when microbial cells are applied as replacements to enzyme based sensors. Microorganisms, due to their low cost, long lifetime and wide range of suitable pH and temperature, have been widely employed as the biosensing element in the construction of biosensors.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

No mentioned any acknowledgment by authors.

AUTHORS CONTRIBUTION

This work was carried out in collaboration between all authors.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declared no potential conflicts of interests with respect to the authorship and/or publication of this article.

REFERENCES

1. Malhotra BD, Singhal R, Chaubey A, Sharma SK, Kumar A. Recent trends in biosensors. Current Applied Physics. 2005;5(2):92-7.
2. D'souza S. Microbial biosensors. Biosensors and Bioelectronics. 2001;16(6):337-53.
3. Turner A, Karube I, Wilson G. Biosensors: fundamentals and applications, 1987. Applied Biosensors. 1989.
4. D'souza S. Immobilized enzymes in bioprocess. Current Science. 1999;77(1):69-79.
5. Bilitewski U, Turner A. Biosensors for environmental monitoring, 2000. Taylor &Francis.
6. Mulchandani A, Rogers KR. Enzyme and microbial biosensors: Humana Press; 1998.
7. Lei Y, Chen W, Mulchandani A. Microbial biosensors. Analytica Chimica Acta. 2006;568(1):200-10.
8. Higson SP, Reddy SM, Vadgama P. Enzyme and other biosensors: Evolution of a technology. Engineering Science and Education Journal. 1994;3(1):41-8.
9. Lazcka O, Campo F, Munoz FX. Pathogen detection: a perspective of traditional methods and biosensors. Biosensors and Bioelectronics. 2007;22(7):1205-17.
10. Watts HJ, Lowe CR, Pollard-Knight DV. Optical biosensor for monitoring microbial cells. Analytical chemistry. 1994;66(15):2465-70.
11. Syam R, Davis KJ, Pratheesh M, Anoopraj R, Joseph BS. Biosensors: A Novel Approach for Pathogen Detection. VETSCAN. 2012;7(1):14-8.
12. Homola J, Yee SS, Gauglitz G. Surface plasmon resonance sensors: review. Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical. 1999;54(1):3-15.
13. Wang J, Rivas G, Cai X, Palecek E, Nielsen P, Shiraishi H, et al. DNA electrochemical biosensors for environmental monitoring. A review. Analytica Chimica Acta. 1997;347(1):1-8.
14. Rai M, Gade A, Gaikwad S, Marcato PD, Durán N. Biomedical applications of nanobiosensors: the state-of-the-art. Journal of the Brazilian Chemical Society. 2012;23(1):14-24.
15. Riedel K, Mulchandani A, Rogers K. Enzyme and Microbial Biosensors: Techniques and Protocols. Humana Press, Totowa; 1998.
16. Rogers KR, Gerlach CL. Peer Reviewed: Update on Environmental Biosensors. Environmental science & technology. 1999;33(23):500A-6A.
17. Marty J, Olive D, Asano Y. Measurement of BOD: correlation between 5-day BOD and commercial BOD biosensor values. Environmental technology. 1997;18(3):333-7.
18. Choi Y-E, Kwak J-W, Park JW. Nanotechnology for early cancer detection. Sensors. 2010;10(1):428-55.
19. Bohunicky B, Mousa SA. Biosensors: the new wave in cancer diagnosis. Nanotechnology, science and applications. 2011;4:1.
20. Tothill IE, editor. Biosensors for cancer markers diagnosis. Seminars in cell & developmental biology; 2009: Elsevier.
21. Ivnitski D, Abdel-Hamid I, Atanasov P, Wilkins E. Biosensors for detection of pathogenic bacteria. Biosensors and Bioelectronics. 1999;14(7):599-624.
22. Plomer M, Guilbault GG, Hock B. Development of a piezoelectric immunosensor for the detection of enterobacteria. Enzyme and microbial technology. 1992;14(3):230-5.
23. Nakamura N, Shigematsu A, Matsunaga T. Electrochemical detection of viable bacteria in urine and antibiotic selection. Biosensors and Bioelectronics. 1991;6(7):575-80.
24. Brooks JL, Mirhabibollahi B, Kroll R. Experimental enzyme-linked amperometric immunosensors for the detection of salmonellas in foods. Journal of applied bacteriology. 1992;73(3):189-96.
25. Lee WE, Thompson HG, Hall JG, Fulton RE, Wong JP. Rapid immunofiltration assay of Newcastle disease virus using a silicon sensor. Journal of immunological methods. 1993;166(1):123-